

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,119	07/14/2006	Yoshiaki Takida	ADACHI P281US	1703
20210 7590 DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C. 112 PLEASANT STREET			EXAMINER	
			SCRUGGS, ROBERT J	
CONCORD, N	NH 03301		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/586,119 TAKIDA, YOSHIAKI Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ROBERT SCRUGGS -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftcoercon's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/14/06.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 6-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on January 30,

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on July 14, 2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements have been considered by the examiner.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the comb shaped cleaning device must be shown and labeled or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure

Art Unit: 3723

number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:3

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daum et al. (2002/0121291).

In reference to claim 1, Daum et al. disclose a robot arm automatic vehicle cleaning device that automatically performs a vehicle cleaning process using a cleaning brush (82) provided to an end of a robot arm (12) in accordance with a shape of a vehicle.

8.

Page 4

In reference to claim 2, Daum et al. disclose a robot arm automatic vehicle washing apparatus that detects a vehicle which stops at a specified vehicle stop position and

automatically performs a vehicle cleaning process using a cleaning brush provided to an

end of a robot arm in accordance with actual size data of a vehicle as input data.

wherein the actual size data of the vehicle is quantified as an actual size of the vehicle

by analyzing vehicle image data which is created by taking pictures of a front surface, a

left lateral surface, a right lateral surface, a rear surface, and an upper surface of the

vehicle with a camera (126) (Paragraph 87).

In reference to claim 3, Daum et al. disclose a vehicle cleaning rotating brush wherein a

vehicle cleaning process is performed using a roll shaped rotating brush (82) attached

to an end of a robot arm of a robot arm (12) automatic vehicle cleaning device

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daum et

al. (2002/0121291) in view of Smith et al. (3608121). Daum et al. disclose the claimed

invention previously mentioned above, but lack, a plurality of rotating brushes and

rotating the plurality of brushes in opposite directions. However, Smith et al. teach a

technique of using a plurality of rotating brushes (12 and 14) that can be rotated in

Application/Control Number: 10/586,119

Art Unit: 3723

opposite directions (Figure 1). One of ordinary skill in the art could have applied the known technique of using a plurality of rotating brushes, as taught by Smith et al., in the same way to the device, of Daum et al., and the results would have been predictable. In this situation, one could more effectively clean and remove material from a vehicle.

9. Claim 5, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daum et al. (2002/0121291) in view of Lin (2002/0112312). Daum et al. disclose the claimed invention previously mentioned above, but lack, using a comb shaped cleaning device that cleans a rotating brush. However, Lin teaches of using a comb shaped cleaning device (42) that cleans a rotating brush (41) every time the brush rotates (Paragraph 24). One of ordinary skill in the art could have applied the known technique of using a cleaning device that cleans a rotating brush, as taught by Lin, in the same way to the device, of Daum et al., and the results would have been predictable. In this situation, one could provide an improved brush that maintains a clean outer surface thereby more effectively cleaning a vehicle.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT SCRUGGS whose telephone number is (571)272-8682. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,119 Page 6

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RS

/Joseph J. Hail, III/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3723